## Gas-Phase Reactions of Transition-Metal Ions with Methyl Nitrite and Nitromethane

#### C. J. Cassady and B. S. Freiser\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907. Received July 9, 1984

Abstract: The gas-phase reactions of Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, Ni<sup>+</sup>, Cu<sup>+</sup>, Rh<sup>+</sup>, Pd<sup>+</sup>, and Ag<sup>+</sup> with methyl nitrite and nitromethane were studied. The methyl nitrite chemistry is dominated by insertion of the metal ion into the weak CH<sub>3</sub>O-NO bond. This allows an opportunity to study differences in metal ion reactivity following formation of a common reaction intermediate, as opposed to differences due to initial bond insertion. Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup> give greater than 90% loss of NO, while Rh<sup>+</sup> and Pd<sup>+</sup> show a slight preference for NO. The large loss of NO is surprising since ligand displacement reactions give a relative binding order for Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, Ni<sup>+</sup>, and Rh<sup>+</sup> of CO < CH<sub>2</sub>O <  $C_2H_4$  < NO. This demonstrates that the ligand retained in a gas-phase ion-molecule reaction is not necessarily the species that forms the strongest bond to the metal ion in the absence of other ligands. Ligand displacement reactions bracket  $D(Fe^+-C_2H_4) = 34 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol} < D(Fe^+-NO) < D(Fe^+-H) = 58 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}$ and  $D(\text{Co}^+-\text{C}_2\text{H}_4) = 37 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol} < D(\text{Co}^+-\text{NO}) < D(\text{Co}^+-\text{H}) = 52 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}$  and also yield values of  $D(\text{Ni}^+-\text{NO}) = 43 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol}$  and  $D(\text{Rh}^+-\text{NO}) = 40 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol}$ . For Cu<sup>+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup>, exclusive loss of NO is observed, while ligand displacement reactions give a relative binding order of NO < CO  $\leq$  CH<sub>2</sub>O < C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> for Cu<sup>+</sup>. Only Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, Rh<sup>+</sup>, and Pd<sup>+</sup> react with nitromethane. The majority of the nitromethane products appear to result from a metal-induced nitro-to-nitrite isomerization. For Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup>, a second mechanism which apparently involves the formation of a dioxygen-metal species is also observed. Collision-induced dissociation and secondary ion-molecule reactions with the neutral were used to probe ion structures providing insight into reaction mechanisms.

The reactions of gas-phase atomic metal ions with organic compounds have been the focus of many recent investigations involving ion cyclotron resonance spectrometry<sup>1-4</sup> and ion beam techniques<sup>5-7</sup>. These studies have provided fundamental information on the intrinsic reactivities of metal ions in the absence of complicating solvent effects. The transition-metal ions studied to date have been found to have reactivities which, as expected, are dependent on their electronic structure and, therefore, on their position in the periodic table. Studies involving alkanes have shown, for example, that for the first-row transition series, Ti<sup>+8</sup> and V<sup>+9</sup> react to cleave C-H bonds, while Sc<sup>+,10</sup> Fe<sup>+,5,8,11,12</sup> Co<sup>+,5,6,12</sup> and Ni<sup>+,5,12-14</sup> cleave both C-H and C-C bonds and  $Mn^+$ ,  $^7 Cr^+$ ,  $^{7.15}$  and  $Cu^+$   $^{15}$  are unreactive. In addition to these broad generalizations, more subtle differences in metal ion reactivities also exist in moving across the periodic table.

In an expansion of our previous study of the reactions of Co<sup>+</sup> with nitroalkanes and methyl nitrite,<sup>16</sup> we present in this paper the results of a broader study of the reactions of nitromethane and methyl nitrite with a series of metal ions (Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, Ni<sup>+</sup>, Cu<sup>+</sup>, Rh<sup>+</sup>, Pd<sup>+</sup>, and Ag<sup>+</sup>). Methyl nitrite is unique because it has a

(1) (a) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. Organometallics 1984, 3, 513. (b) Jacobson, D. B.; Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 553. (c)

- Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1159.
   (2) Wronka, J.; Ridge, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 67.
   (3) Radecki, B. D.; Allison, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 946.
   (4) Uppal, J. S.; Staley, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3794.
  - (5) Halle, L. F.; Armentrout, P. B.; Beauchamp, J. L. Organometallics
- 1982, 1, 963.
- (6) Armentrout, P. B.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 784.
- (7) Armentrout, P. B.; Halle, L. F.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6501.
- (8) Byrd, G. D.; Burnier, R. C.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104. 3565
- (9) Carlin, T. J.; Jackson, T. C.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., to be submitted for publication.
- (10) Tolbert, M. A.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8117. (11) Allison, J.; Freas, R. B.; Ridge, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1332.
- (12) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5197.
- (13) Halle, L. F.; Houriet, R.; Kappe, M. M.; Staley, R. H.; Beauchamp,
  J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6293.
  (14) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 736.
  (15) Byrd, G. D., Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1982.
  (16) Cassady, C. J.; Freiser, B. S.; McElvany, S. W.; Allison, J. J. Am.
- Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6125.

Table I. Product Distributions for the Primary Reactions of Atomic Metal Ions with Methyl Nitrite

products		relative abundance						
ion	neutral(s)	Fe <sup>+</sup>	Co+ ª	Ni <sup>+</sup>	Cu+	Rh+	Pd+	Ag <sup>+</sup>
MH+	CH <sub>2</sub> ONO	19	15	9	0	10	12	0
MCO+	HNO, $H_2$	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
MOCH <sup>+</sup>	NO, $H_2$	4	5	41	0	32	22	0
$MOCH_2^+$	HNO	5	5	8	86	6	11	100
MNO <sup>+</sup>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	2	5	9	0	10	45	0
MOCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	NO	70	70	33	14	0	5	0
MHNO <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> O	0	0	0	0	37	5	0

<sup>a</sup> From ref 16.

very weak CH<sub>3</sub>O-NO bond (42 kcal/mol<sup>17</sup>) which dominates its chemistry both in solution and in the gas phase. Accordingly, all of the metal ions involved in this study should react by initial oxidative addition into this bond to form intermediate 1. This

allows an opportunity to study differences in metal ion reactivity following formation of a common reaction intermediate, as opposed to differences due to the location of initial bond insertion.

The reactions of the seven metal ions with nitromethane, an isomer of methyl nitrite, are also presented in this paper. Since nitromethane contains no exceptionally weak N–O bond, the metal ions react in a dramatically different manner with this compound than they do with methyl nitrite. Nevertheless, the methyl nitrite chemistry provides insight into the mechanisms involved for nitromethane. The metal ions studied are all late transition metals, but they show interesting differences in reactivity going across a row or down a column in the periodic table.

#### **Experimental Section**

All experiments were performed with a prototype Nicolet FTMS-1000 which has previously been described in detail.<sup>18</sup> The mass spectrometer is equipped with a 5.2 cm cubic trapping cell situated between the poles of a Varian 15-in. electromagnet maintained at 0.9 T. The cell has been

<sup>(17)</sup> Patai, S., Ed. "The Chemistry of Amino, Nitroso, and Nitro Com- pounds and Their Derivatives", Part 2; Wiley: New York, 1982; p 1075.
 (18) (a) Cody, R. B.; Freiser, B. S. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Pys. 1982, 41, 199.
 (b) Cody, R. B.; Burnier, R. C.; Freiser, B. S. Anal. Chem. 1982, 41, 199. 54, 96.

modified by drilling a 0.25-in.-diameter hole in one of the transmitter plates to allow irradiation by various light sources. Metal ions were generated by focusing the frequency doubled beam (530 nm) of a Quanta Ray Nd:YAG laser onto high-purity metal foils which were supported by the opposite transmitter plate. Details of the laser ionization experiment have been described elsewhere.<sup>19,20</sup> Experimental conditions were adjusted to minimize complications due to excited-state metal ions.<sup>21</sup> We cannot rule out the possibility, however, that some of the less abundant products arise from excited-state species.

The distributions of primary product ions listed in Tables I and III are reproducible to  $\pm 10\%$  absolute. The empirical formulas of all products were confirmed by using both deuterated and undeuterated reactants. In cases where a product has the same nominal mass as another product with both deuterated and undeuterated reagents (i.e., with CH<sub>3</sub>ONO, CoNO<sup>+</sup> and CoOCH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> are isobars, while with CD<sub>3</sub>ONO, CoNO<sup>+</sup> and CoOCD<sup>+</sup> are isobars), the relative abundances of the products were determined by comparing peak intensities in both sets of data. The nature of the neutrals lost in the reactions listed in the tables and throughout the text is often unknown and structures other than those given may also be possible. Product distributions of subsequent reactions of the primary reaction products were determined by using swept double resonance ejection tecniques<sup>22</sup> to isolate the ions of interest.

Collision-induced dissociation (CID) experiments using FTMS have been described previously.<sup>12,14</sup> Sample pressures were on the order of 1  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup> torr, and the argon collision gas was on the order of 5  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> torr. Pressures were monitored with a Bayard-Alpert ionization gauge. The collision energy can be varied between 0 and 100 eV. The spread in ion kinetic energies is dependent on the total average kinetic energy and is approximately 35% at 1 eV, 10% at 10 eV, and 5% at 30 eV

In ligand displacement experiments,  $ML^+$  (L = CO, CH<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, and H) was generated by addition of a reagent gas into the vacuum chamber through a General Valve Corp. Series 9 pulsed solenoid valve.<sup>24</sup> The valve pulse, which was concurrent with a laser pulse to generate metal ions, filled the vacuum chamber to a maximum pressure of 10<sup>-5</sup> torr and was pumped away by a high-speed 5-in. diffusion pump in  $\sim\!250$  ms. Swept double resonance techniques<sup>22</sup> were then used to isolate the ML<sup>+</sup> ions, which were allowed to react with a static pressure of a second reagent gas (NO, CO,  $C_2H_4$ , or  $CH_2O$ ) in the absence of complicating reactions with the initial reagent. For Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup>, initial ML<sup>+</sup> species used in ligand displacement reactions were generated by reactions 1,12 2,15,25 3,26 and 4.27 Initial RhL<sup>+</sup> species were generated via reactions

$$M^+ + n - C_4 H_{10} \rightarrow M C_2 H_4^+ + C_2 H_6$$
 (1)

$$M^{+} + CH_{3}(CO)CH_{3} \rightarrow MCO^{+} + C_{2}H_{6}$$
(2)

$$MCO^+ + CH_2O \rightarrow MCH_2O^+ + CO$$
 (3)

$$M^{+} + CH_{3}ONO \xrightarrow{-NO} MOCH_{3}^{+} \xrightarrow{Ar} MH^{+} + CH_{2}O \qquad (4)$$

5,19 6,26 and 7,26 while for Cu<sup>+</sup> displacement reactions, CuCD<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> was formed by reaction 8.26

$$Rh^+ + C_2H_6 \rightarrow RhC_2H_4^+ + H_2 \tag{5}$$

$$Rh^+ + CH_2O \rightarrow RhCO^+ + H_2$$
 (6)

$$Rh^+ + CH_3ONO \xrightarrow{-CH_2O} RhHNO^+ \xrightarrow{Ar} RhH^+ + NO$$
 (7)

$$Cu^+ + CD_3ONO \rightarrow CuCD_2O^+ + DNO$$
 (8)

Methyl nitrite and methyl nitrite- $d_3$  were prepared according to the literature.<sup>28</sup> All other chemicals were high-purity commercial samples

(19) Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5944. (20) Burnier, R. C.; Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4360.

(21) Cassady, C. J.; Wise, M. B.; Freiser, B. S. Int. J. Mass Spectrom Ion Phys., to be submitted for publication.

(22) (a) Comisarow, M. B.; Grassi, V.; Parisod, G. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1978,

 57, 413. (b) Marshall, A. G.; Comisarow, M. B. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 71,
 4434. (c) Parisod, G.; Comisarow, M. B. Adv. Mass Spectrom. 1980, 8, 212.
 (23) Huntress, W. T.; Mosesman, M. M.; Elleman, D. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 54, 843.

(24) A detailed description of pulsed valve introduction of reagent gases in conjunction with FTMS can be found in: Carlin, T. J.; Freiser, B. S. Anal.

Chem. 1983, 55, 571. (25) Burnier, R. C.; Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4360.

(26) Cassady, C. J.; Freiser, B. S., unpublished results.
(27) Carlin, T. J.; Sallans, L.; Cassady, C. J.; Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B.
S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6320.
(28) Hartung, W. H.; Crossley, F. "Organic Syntheses"; Wiley: New

York, 1943; Collect. Vol. II, pp 363-364.

Scheme I



which were used as supplied except for multiple freeze-pump-thaw cycles to remove noncondensible gases.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Methyl Nitrite Primary Reactions. The primary reaction products observed for methyl nitrite are given in Table I. As expected, the first step in these reactions appears to be oxidative addition of the metal ion into the weak CH<sub>3</sub>O-NO bond to form intermediate 1. Subsequent  $\beta$ -hydride shifts onto the metal, followed by elimination of various ligands, can explain all of the primary reaction products. While the same metal-insertion mechanism outlined in Scheme I can be invoked to explain the reactions of all of the metal ions with methyl nitrite, the final products vary dramatically, as is evident in Table I.

The structures of the product ions were probed by collisioninduced dissociation and H/D exchange reactions. Formation of MH<sup>+</sup> and M<sup>+</sup> as the only CID product ions and observation of one H/D exchange with D<sub>2</sub> indicates that NiCOH<sup>+</sup>, RhCOH<sup>+</sup>, and PdCOH<sup>+</sup> have metal-hydride structures,  $M(CO)(H)^+$ , or are composed of  $M(COH)^+$  and  $M(CO)(H)^+$  species in equilibrium. Since MCO<sup>+</sup> does not form as a CID product, this indicates that  $D(M^+-H) > D(M^+-CO)$  for M = Ni,<sup>29</sup> Rh, and Pd.<sup>30</sup> RhHNO<sup>+</sup> also undergoes CID to form RhH<sup>+</sup> and Rh<sup>+</sup>, implying that D- $(Rh^+-H) = 42 \pm 3 \text{ kcal/mol}^{30} > D(Rh^+-NO)$ . The CID results, along with the observation of one H/D exchange with  $D_2$ , indicate that the structure of this ion is  $Rh(H)(NO)^+$  or that two equilibrating structures,  $Rh(HNO)^+$  and  $Rh(H)(NO)^+$ , are present. CID on FeOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, CoOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, and NiOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> yields MH<sup>+</sup> in high efficiency, suggesting that  $D(M^+-H)^{29} > D(M^+-CH_2O)$ . NiOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> undergoes three H/D exchanges with  $D_2$ , while FeOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and CoOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> do not react with  $D_2$ . With ethene- $d_4$ ,<sup>31</sup> however, all three first-row groups 8-1043 MOCH<sub>3</sub>+ species give three H/D exchanges. These results suggest that these ions have equilibrating methoxy and hydrido-formaldehyde structures, 2.

2

Structural studies also show that MCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> does not have a metal-hydride structure, based on a lack of H/D exchange and the formation of only  $M^+$  as a CID product.

Some interesting observations can be made from the data in Table I. For example, the first-row groups 8 and 9 ions Fe<sup>+</sup> and

<sup>(29)</sup>  $D^{\circ}(Fe^{+}-H) = 58 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol}, D^{\circ}(Co^{+}-H) = 52 \pm 4 \text{ kcal/mol}, \text{ and}$ 

 $D^{\circ}(N^{+}-H) = 43 \pm 2$  kcal/mol from ref 7. (30)  $D^{\circ}(Rh^{+}-H) = 42 \pm 3$  kcal/mol and  $D^{\circ}(Pd^{+}-H) = 45 \pm 3$  kcal/mol from: Mandich, M. L.; Halle, L. F.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4403.

<sup>(31)</sup> Ethene- $d_4$  has been found to be a more facile H/D exchange reagent than  $D_2$ ; however,  $C_2D_4$  also adds ~ 30 kcal/mol more energy to the complex than does D2. Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 72.

Co<sup>+</sup> react almost identically with methyl nitrite, while Ni<sup>+</sup> reacts to give similar products as Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> but in markedly different relative abundances. This differing reactivity of Ni<sup>+</sup> from Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> has also been observed with other organic species.<sup>12,13,32</sup> For Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup>, the major reaction product is  $M(H)(CH_2O)^+$ , while for Ni<sup>+</sup>, the most abundant product is  $M(H)(CO)^+$ . To aid in interpreting these results, bare Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup> were reacted with CH<sub>2</sub>O. Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> were found to react slowly to form the condensation product, MCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, and the dehydrogenation product MCO<sup>+</sup>, in a ratio of  $\sim 1.0:0.05$  (CH<sub>2</sub>O:CO), which is also the approximate ratio of CH<sub>2</sub>O to CO retention for Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> in the methyl nitrite reactions. Ni<sup>+</sup>, however, reacts slowly with CH<sub>2</sub>O to form NiCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> and NiCO<sup>+</sup> in roughly a 1.0:1.0 ratio, which agrees well with the  $CH_2O$  to CO retention ratio for the methyl nitrite reactions. Dehydrogenation of CH<sub>2</sub>O by Ni<sup>+</sup> is surprising since Ni<sup>+</sup> is typically the least reactive first-row groups 8-10 metal ion in the gas phase and the Ni<sup>+</sup>-H bond is considerably weaker than the Fe<sup>+</sup>-H and Co<sup>+</sup>-H bonds.<sup>29</sup>

Another interesting feature of groups 8-10 metal ion reactivity with methyl nitrite is that there is very little retention of NO by the first-row metal ions while approximately 50% of the products from the second-row ions Rh<sup>+</sup> and Pd<sup>+</sup> retain NO. This is surprising since NO is a three-electron donor<sup>33</sup> and, therefore, would be expected to bind more strongly to the metal than two-electron donor ligands such as CO and CH<sub>2</sub>O. Ligand-displacement reactions were performed to determine the relative binding order of NO, CO, and CH<sub>2</sub>O to the groups 8-10 metal ions. As a reference, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> displacement was also studied in these experiments since literature values of  $D(M^+-C_2H_4)$  are available for the first-row groups 8-10 metals.<sup>34,35</sup> For Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, Ni<sup>+</sup>, and Rh<sup>+</sup> the relative binding order is  $CO < CH_2O < C_2H_4 < NO$ ,<sup>36</sup> showing that NO is indeed the most strongly bound ligand of the four. In addition, displacement reactions with MH<sup>+</sup> were performed to set an upper limit on M<sup>+</sup>-NO. No displacement of H by NO was observed for FeH<sup>+</sup>, indicating that  $D(Fe^+-C_2H_4)$  $= 34 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}^{35} < D(\text{Fe}^+-\text{NO}) < D(\text{Fe}^+-\text{H}) = 58 \pm 2$ kcal/mol.7 For Co<sup>+</sup>, a small amount of displacement of H by NO was observed, indicating that  $D(Co^+-NO)$  is close to  $D(Co^+-H)$ and bracketing  $D(\text{Co}^+-\text{C}_2\text{H}_4) = 37 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}^{34} < D(\text{Co}^+-\text{NO}) < D(\text{CO}^+-\text{H}) = 52 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}^7$  For Ni<sup>+</sup> and Rh<sup>+</sup>, NO displaces H, but at a rate that is roughly an order of magnitude slower than the displacement of  $C_2H_4$  by NO. Loss of HNO to regenerate M<sup>+</sup> also occurs, with M<sup>+</sup> formation dominating over MNO<sup>+</sup> formation. This slow displacement of H by NO suggests that  $D(M^+-NO)$  for Ni and Rh are within  $\pm 5$  kcal/mol of D- $(Ni^+-H) = 43 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}^7 \text{ and } D(Rh^+-H) = 42 \pm 3 \text{ kcal/}$ mol.<sup>30</sup> In addition, CID studies on RhHNO<sup>+</sup> indicate that D- $(Rh^+-NO) < D(Rh^+-H)$ , while formation of M<sup>+</sup>-NO from methyl nitrite sets a lower limit of 38 kcal/mol<sup>37</sup> for  $D(M^+-NO)$ . Thus, we assign  $D(Rh^+-NO) = 40 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol and } D(Ni^+-NO)$ =  $43 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol}$ .

There are several possible explanations for the preference of NO loss over CH<sub>2</sub>O and CO loss in the methyl nitrite reactions. One possibility is that NO loss from intermediate 1 occurs prior to rearrangement of the methoxy to the hydrido-formaldehyde species. While  $D(M^+-NO)$  is on the order of 40-50 kcal/mol,

 $D(M^+-OCH_3)$  would be expected to be substantially higher based on  $D(M^+-OH) \sim 70 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{38}$  Although the  $M^+-OCH_3$  bond strength, and thus the exothermicity of the reaction, may play a role in NO loss in the primary reactions, it does not explain the loss of NO that also occurs in the subsequent reactions of ML, species with methyl nitrite, which are discussed below. Another factor may be the nature of the NO ligand itself. Initial insertion of the metal into the CH<sub>3</sub>O-NO bond could lead to an NO group with bent geometry which donates one electron,<sup>33</sup> and the lifetime of the intermediate may not be sufficient to allow rearrangement to the linear three electron donor geometry. Synergistic ligand effects may also be involved. For example, while both  $C_2H_4$  and NO readily displace  $CD_2O$  from  $MCD_2O^+$  (M = Fe, Co, Ni), only  $C_2H_4$  displaces CD<sub>2</sub>O from FeOCD<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, while NO does not react. For CoOCD<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, a small amount of displacement of each of three ligands, D, OCD<sub>3</sub>, and CD<sub>2</sub>O, is observed with NO. NO reacts readily with NiOCD<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, reactions 9 through 11, but dis-

$$NiOCD_3^+ + NO - \frac{30\%}{100} NiNO^+ + OCD_3$$
 (9)

25% NIDNO+ + CD20 (10)

$$45\%$$
 Ni(CD<sub>0</sub>O)(NO)<sup>+</sup> + D (11)

placement of CD<sub>2</sub>O by NO is not the dominant pathway. This suggests that the presence of other ligands around the metal has an effect on the relative binding energies of CD<sub>2</sub>O and NO. In addition, other factors such as the ability of a ligand to delocalize charge<sup>39</sup> or to carry away excess energy may also aid in determining ligand loss. Overall, the methyl nitrite reactions serve to indicate that the ligand retained in a gas-phase ion-molecule reaction is not necessarily the species that forms the strongest bond to the metal ion in the absence of other ligands.

Another trend in the first-row groups 8-10 metal ion reactions is a decrease in the amount of MH<sup>+</sup> formation in the order FeH<sup>+</sup> > CoH<sup>+</sup> > NiH<sup>+</sup>, which coincides with the order of  $D(M^{+}-H)$ and, therefore, reaction exothermicity. Also, thermodynamic calculations show that MH<sup>+</sup> formation to give CH<sub>2</sub>O and NO as neutrals is endothermic for all three metals, implying that the neutral lost in these reactions is actually CH<sub>2</sub>ONO.<sup>37</sup> In addition to being formed from intermediate 1, it is also possible that some MH<sup>+</sup> is the result of initial H-CH<sub>2</sub>ONO insertion.

The reactivities of the second-row groups 8-10 transition-metal ions vary dramatically from those of the first-row ions. As noted previously, there is approximately a 1:1 ratio between retention of nitrogen-containing ligands and carbon-containing ligands by Rh<sup>+</sup> and Pd<sup>+</sup>. In cases where the carbon-ligand bond is retained, the major product for  $Rh^+$  and  $Pd^+$  is  $M(H)(CO)^+$  rather than  $M(H)(CH_2O)^+$ . Rh<sup>+</sup> also readily dehydrogenates  $CH_2O$  with very little condensation, resulting in a RhCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>:RhCO<sup>+</sup> ratio of  $\sim 0.1$ :1.0, in accord with the CH<sub>2</sub>O to CO retention ratio of 0.15:1.0 for the methyl nitrite reactions. Pd<sup>+</sup>, which reacts an order of magnitude slower with CH<sub>2</sub>O than Rh<sup>+</sup>, gives a PdCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>:PdCO<sup>+</sup> ratio of  $\sim$ 1.0:1.0, while for the methyl nitrite reactions with Pd<sup>+</sup> the ratio of CH<sub>2</sub>O to CO retention is  $\sim 0.8:1.0$ .

Another interesting point about Rh<sup>+</sup> and Pd<sup>+</sup> reactivity with methyl nitrite is that although the major reaction pathway for both metals involves retention of NO, the most abundant product for  $Rh^+$  is  $Rh(H)(NO)^+$  while the major product for  $Pd^+$  is PdNO<sup>+</sup>. This result and others discussed below suggest that, in general, products resulting in a 12-electron configuration around the metal, such as  $Rh(H)(NO)^+$  and  $PdNO^+$ , are more stable than products with 11- or 13-electron configurations, such as  $RhNO^+$  or  $Pd(H)(NO)^+$ , respectively. This stability apparently arises due to 12-electron species having filled s and d shells.

The group 11 metal ions Cu<sup>+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> react similarly with methyl nitrite, with Cu<sup>+</sup> forming 86% CuOCH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and 14% CuOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, while Ag<sup>+</sup> forms 100%  $AgOCH_2^+$ . This is in contrast

<sup>(32)</sup> Allison, J.; Ridge, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**. 101, 4998. (33) Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry", 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1972; pp 713-719. (34)  $D^{\circ}(Co^{+}-C_{2}H_{4}) = 37 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol from: Armentrout, P. B.; Beau-$ 

champ, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6628. (35)  $D^{\circ}(Fe^+-C_2H_4) = 34 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol and } D^{\circ}(Ni^+-C_2H_4) = 37 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol from: Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105,$ 7492

<sup>(36)</sup> There is a discrepancy in the literature between the bond strengths of  $C_2H_4$  and CO to Fe<sup>+</sup>. The reported values are  $D^{\circ}(Fe^+-C_2H_4) = 34 \pm 2$  kcal/mol (ref 35) and  $D^{\circ}(Fe^+-CO) = 37.6$  kcal/mol (Halle, L. F.; Armentrout, P. B.; Beauchamp, J. L. Organometallics 1982, 1, 963). Ligand displacement reactions, however, show that C2D4 readily displaces CO from FeCO<sup>+</sup> (this study and: Foster, M. S.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4808), indicating that  $D^{\circ}(Fe^+-C_2H_4) > D^{\circ}(Fe^+-CO)$ .

<sup>(37)</sup> Thermochemical information is taken from: Rosenstock, H. M.; Draxl, K.; Steiner, B. W.; Herron, J. T. J. Phys. Chem., Ref. Data, Suppl. 1, 1977, 6; and ref 17.

<sup>(38)</sup>  $D^{\circ}(\text{Fe}^+-\text{OH}) = 73 \pm 3 \text{ kcal/mol and } D^{\circ}(\text{Co}^+-\text{OH}) = 71 \pm 3$ kcal/mol from: Cassady, C. J.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6176.

<sup>(39)</sup> Pierce, J. L.; Wigley, D. E.; Walton, R. A. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1328.

70. 0/

Scheme II

$$\begin{array}{c} {\sf Fe}^+ + {\sf CH}_3 {\sf ONO} & \overbrace{}^{10} \stackrel{\text{$\sim}^{>}}{\longrightarrow} {\sf FeOCH}_3^+ + {\sf NO} \\ \\ {\sf CH}_3 {\sf ONO} & \bigvee 40 \% \\ \\ {\sf Fe(CH}_3 {\sf OH})^+ + {\sf CH}_2 {\sf ONO} \\ \\ {\sf CH}_3 {\sf ONO} & \bigvee 50 \% \\ \\ {\sf Fe(OCH}_3) ({\sf CH}_3 {\sf OH})^+ + {\sf NO} \\ \\ {\sf CH}_3 {\sf ONO} & \bigvee 90 \% \\ \\ {\sf Fe(OCH}_3)_2 ({\sf CH}_3 {\sf OH})^+ + {\sf NO} \\ \\ {\sf CH}_3 {\sf ONO} & \bigvee 40 \% \\ \\ {\sf Fe(OCH}_3)_4^+ + {\sf HNO} \\ \\ {\sf CH}_3 {\sf ONO} & \bigvee 100 \% \\ \\ {\sf Fe(CH}_3 {\sf ONO}) ({\sf OCH}_3)_2 ({\sf CH}_3 {\sf OH})^+ + {\sf CH}_2 {\sf OH} \\ \\ \\ {\sf Fe(CH}_3 {\sf ONO})_2 ({\sf OCH}_3)_2^+ + {\sf CH}_3 {\sf OH} \end{array}$$

to the groups 8-10 metal ions where  $MOCH_2^+$  is only a minor product. The reaction of Cu<sup>+</sup> with CH<sub>2</sub>O, in which only a slow condensation to form CuCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> is seen, agrees with the observation from the methyl nitrite reaction that Cu<sup>+</sup> does not dehydrogenate CH<sub>2</sub>O. Ligand displacement reactions were also performed with Cu<sup>+</sup>, resulting in a ligand binding order of NO  $< CO \leq CH_2O < C_2H_4$ . (A small displacement of CH<sub>2</sub>O by CO was observed, indicating that  $D(Cu^+-CO)$  is only slightly lower than  $D(Cu^+-CH_2O)$ ). This is in contrast to the groups 8–10 metal ions where NO is the most strongly bound of the four ligands. This may imply that NO is unable to serve as a three-electron donor to Cu<sup>+</sup>, which has a 4s<sup>0</sup>3d<sup>10</sup> configuration, and must therefore donate only one electron with the end result being that NO is a more weakly bound ligand to Cu<sup>+</sup> than the two-electron donors CO,  $CH_2O$ , and  $C_2H_4$ . This may also suggest that a configuration with 12 electrons around the metal, i.e., MCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, is more stable than a 13-electron configuration, i.e., MNO<sup>+</sup> and  $M(H)(CH_2O)^+$ , M = Cu and Ag. In the case of the minor product CuOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, no H/D exchange is observed with either  $D_2$ or  $C_2D_4$ , suggesting that this ion has a metal-methoxy structure which does not rearrange to its hydrido-formaldehyde isomer.

Subsequent Reactions with Methyl Nitrite. Table II lists the subsequent reaction products generated when the primary products undergo further reactions with methyl nitrite. The first-row groups 8-10 metal ion products are very reactive with the neutral, undergoing up to eight subsequent reactions. As an example, the further reactions of the major ions formed from FeOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> are outlined in Scheme II.

The secondary reactions of  $FeOCH_3^+$ , reactions 12 through 16,

FeOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>3</sub>ONO 
$$\frac{40\%}{25\%}$$
 Fe(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>2</sub>ONO (12)  
 $\frac{25\%}{10\%}$  Fe(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub> + NO (13)  
 $\frac{10\%}{20\%}$  Fe(OCH<sub>3</sub>)(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>+</sup> + HNO (14)  
 $\frac{20\%}{5\%}$  Fe(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> + NO (15)  
 $\frac{5\%}{5\%}$  Fe(OH)(CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>3</sub>NO (16)

are typical of the reactions observed for the primary products of Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup>. The majority of the subsequent reactions appear to result from  $ML_n^+$  insertion into the weak CH<sub>3</sub>O-NO bond followed by  $\beta$ -hydride shifts onto the metal and the elimination of various ligands. This mechanism, which is similar to that invoked in Scheme I for the primary reaction products, explains the products of reactions 12 through 15. The secondary product ions then undergo further reactions, again following the same mechanism. The most common reaction observed for Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> species involves formally a hydrogen abstraction from

 
 Table II. Primary and Subsequent Products of the Reactions of Atomic Metal Ions with Methyl Nitrite

product <sup>a</sup>	Fe <sup>+</sup>	Co+	Ni <sup>+</sup>	Cu+	Rh <sup>+</sup>	Pd+	Ag <sup>+</sup>
MH <sup>+</sup>	×b	×	×		×	×	
M(CO) <sup>+</sup>					×		
M(CHO) <sup>+</sup>	×	×	x		×	×	
$M(CH_{2}O)^{+}$	x	×	×	×	×	×	×
$M(NO)^+$	x	×	×		×	×	
$M(CH_{0})^{+}$	x	×	×	×		×	
$M(HNO)^+$				~	×	×	
M(CH.OH)+	×	×	×		~	Ŷ	
$M(H)(CH OH)^+$	Ŷ	- Ç	~			~	
$M(\Omega)(CH_{0})^{\dagger}$	÷.	^					
$M(O)(CH_{3}O)$	÷.						
$M(OI)(CII_3OII)$	^				~		
$M(CUO)_2^{+}$		~	~				
M(CO)(NO)		÷	÷.		~		
M(CU)(NU)*		- Č	~		÷.		
M(CHO)(NO)*		×.			Š		
M(CH <sub>2</sub> O)(CHO) <sup>+</sup>	×	×	×		×		
$M(CH_2O)(NO)^+$		×	×			×	
$M(NO)_2^+$					×		
$M(CH_2O)_2^+$	×			×			
$M(CH_3O)(CH_2O)^+$	×	×	×	×			
M(CH <sub>3</sub> ONO) <sup>+</sup>					×	×	×
$M(CH_3O)_2^+$	×	×					
$M(HNO)_2^+$		×	×				
M(CH <sub>3</sub> OH)(NO) <sup>+</sup>		×	×			×	
$M(CH_{3}OH)(CH_{3}O)^{+}$	×	×					
M(CH,OH),+	×	×					
$M(O)(CH_{2}O)_{2}^{+}$	×						
M(OH)(CH <sub>2</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub> +	×						
$M(CH_{2}O)(NO)_{2}^{+}$		×			×		
$M(CH_{2}O)_{2}^{+}$				×			
$M(CH_2O)^{\dagger}$	×	×					
$M(CH,ONO)(NO)^+$		••	×			×	
$M(CH_{0})(CH_{0})^{+}$				×			
$M(HNO)^{+}(NO)^{+}$		×		~			
$M(CH O)_{2} (CH O)^{+}$	~	^					
$M(CH_{3}O)_{2}(CH_{2}O)$	0	~					
$M(CH_{3}OHO)(CH_{3}OH)$	Û	0					
$M(CH_3OH)(CH_3O)_2$	Û	÷					
$M(CH_3OH)_2(CH_3O)^2$	÷.	~					
$M(O)(CH_3ONO)$ -	^						
$(CH_3OH)^{+}$							
$M(CH_2O)_4$				×			
$M(CH_3ONO)(NO)_2^+$		×			×		
$M(CH_3ONO)_2^{+}$		×					
M(CH <sub>3</sub> ONO)(CH <sub>3</sub> O)-	×						
(CH <sub>2</sub> O) <sup>+</sup>							
$M(CH_3ONO)(CH_3O)_2^+$	×						
$M(CH_3O)_4^+$	×						
$M(CH_3OH)_2(CH_3O)_2^+$		×					
$M(O)(CH_3ONO)(CH_3O)_2^+$	×						
M(O)(CH <sub>3</sub> ONO)-	×						
(CH <sub>3</sub> OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>							
M(CH <sub>3</sub> ONO)(CH <sub>3</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> -	×						
(CH <sub>2</sub> O) <sup>+</sup>							
M(CH <sub>3</sub> ONO)(CH <sub>3</sub> OH)-	×						
(CH <sub>3</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> +							

<sup>*a*</sup> In the formulas listed, ligands are grouped to aid in the examination of the data and are not meant to imply structure. <sup>*b*</sup> × indicates that this ion is formed in the reactions of the given metal ion.

CH<sub>3</sub>ONO (loss of "CH<sub>2</sub>ONO") and the formation of a product ion that is 1 amu higher in mass than the original reactant ion. This process, exemplified by reaction 12, results in the formation of clusters of ions that are 1 amu apart, as shown in Figure 1. The product of reaction 12, FeCH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>+</sup>, undergoes CID to give only Fe<sup>+</sup> and does not H/D exchange suggesting a metal-methanol structure. CID and subsequent ion-molecule reactions with methyl nitrite indicate that the ions formed in this "clustering" process contain combinations of CO, CH<sub>2</sub>O, OCH<sub>3</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>OH ligands surrounding the metal.

Reaction 16, which involves formally the abstraction of an oxygen from methyl nitrite by  $FeOCH_3^+$  to generate  $FeO_2CH_3^+$ , does not occur with any of the other metals. CID on this ion yields  $FeOH^+$  as the only product, suggesting that the structure is  $Fe-(OH)(CH_2O)^+$ . In the subsequent reactions of this ion and its



Figure 1. Mass spectrum obtained when Fe<sup>+</sup> is allowed to react with methyl nitrite at a static pressure of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}$  torr for 750 ms.

products the OH is always retained, indicating that OH is more strongly bound to Fe<sup>+</sup> than any of the other ligands involved in this study.<sup>38</sup>

Another interesting point to note from Table II about the Fe<sup>+</sup> reactions is that there is no retention of the NO ligand until at least the fifth generation reactions. In these cases, however, it appears that rather than an M<sup>+</sup>-NO bond forming, the entire CH<sub>3</sub>ONO molecule is acting as a ligand in a displacement reaction. Two examples of this type of reaction are seen in Scheme II.

The reactions of  $CoL_n^+$  species with methyl nitrite follow the same general mechanism as the  $FeL_n^+$  reactions. For the  $CoL_n^+$  reactions, however, there is some retention of NO in the product ions to form species such as  $Co(CH_2O)(NO)^+$  and  $Co-(CH_2O)_2(NO)_2^+$ , although NO loss is still the dominant pathway. This preferential loss of NO is also observed in CID experiments. For example, CID on  $Co(CH_3ONO)(CH_3OH)^+$ , which is a dominant product at trapping times of around 1 s, gives loss of NO, reaction 17, as the major pathway at all energies studied with

$$Co(CH_3ONO)(CH_3OH)^+ \xrightarrow{A_7} Co(OCH_3)(CH_3OH)^+ + NO$$
(17)

$$-$$
 C<sub>0</sub>(HNO)(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>2</sub>O (18)

$$\rightarrow$$
 Co(CH<sub>3</sub>ONO)<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>3</sub>OH (19)

$$-$$
 Co(H)(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>2</sub>O + NO (2.0)

reactions 18 through 20 being minor pathways. These CID results imply that upon activation this ion has structure 3.



For the NiL<sup>+</sup> reactions, retention of NO as a ligand in subsequent reaction products is seen to a greater extent than in the CoL<sup>+</sup> reactions. This increasing retention of NO is also seen in the CID experiments. For example, CID on Ni(CD<sub>2</sub>O)(NO)<sup>+</sup> yields predominantly NiNO<sup>+</sup>, with some NiCD<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> formation over the range of collision energies studied, confirming the ligand displacement results that  $D(Ni^+-NO) > D(Ni^+-CD_2O)$ . CID

on Ni(CH<sub>3</sub>ONO)(NO)<sup>+</sup>, however, yields the interesting result that loss of HNO, reaction 21, dominates at low energies while reactions 22 and 23 occur at higher energies. Ni(CH<sub>3</sub>ONO)-

$$Ni(CH_{3}ONO)(NO)^{+} \xrightarrow{Ar} NiCH_{2}ONO^{+} + HNO \qquad (21)$$

$$NiNO^{+} + CH_{3}ONO \qquad (22)$$

$$Ni^{+} + CH_{3}ONO + NO \qquad (23)$$

 $(NO)^+$  dominates the mass spectrum at reaction times of 1 s and greater. In fact, all of the NiL<sub>n</sub><sup>+</sup> ions, with the exception of the low-intensity Ni(H)(CH<sub>3</sub>ONO)<sup>+</sup>, react to form Ni-(CH<sub>3</sub>ONO)(NO)<sup>+</sup> as the final product in the reaction sequence. One explanation for the stability of this ion is that, assuming structure **4**, it is an 18-electron species.



The subsequent reactions of  $RhL_n^+$  show a marked preference for NO. At trapping times approaching 1 s,  $Rh(NO)_2^+$  is the dominant ion in the mass spectrum. Interestingly, this ion then reacts with methyl nitrite to lose HNO, reaction 24. The product

 $Rh(NO)_2^+ + CH_3ONO \rightarrow Rh(NO)_2(CH_2O)^+ + HNO$  (24)

ion of reaction 24 reacts further by displacement of  $CH_2O$  with  $CH_3ONO$ , reaction 25. CID on  $Rh(NO)_2(CH_3ONO)^+$  yields

$$Rh(NO)_{2}(CH_{2}O)^{+} + CH_{3}ONO \rightarrow$$
$$Rh(NO)_{3}(CH_{3}ONO)^{+} + CH_{3}O$$
(25)

 $Rh(NO)_2^+$  as the major product (>90% efficiency) at all energies, reaction 26, with reaction 27 being a minor pathway at high energies and reaction 28 as a very minor process at all energies.

$$Rh(NO)_{2}(CD_{3}ONO)^{+} \xrightarrow{Ar} Rh(NO)_{2}^{+} + CD_{3}ONO$$
(26)

$$---$$
 Rh(NO)<sup>+</sup> + CD<sub>3</sub>ONO + NO (27)

$$-$$
 Rh(NO)<sub>2</sub>(CD<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>+</sup> + HNO (28)

The subsequent reactions of  $PdL_n^+$  show a decrease in retention of NO compared to  $RhL_n^+$ . By far the dominant secondary product is  $Pd(NO)(CH_2O)^+$ , with no  $Pd(NO)_2^+$  forming. CID on this ion gives only  $PdNO^+$ , indicating that NO is more strongly bound than  $CH_2O$ . This is further confirmed by its subsequent reaction 29 with  $CH_3ONO$ , where exclusive displacement of

$$Pd(NO)(CH_2O)^+ + CH_3ONO \rightarrow Pd(NO)(CH_3ONO)^+ + CH_2O (29)$$

 $CH_2O$  is observed. CID on  $Pd(NO)(CH_3ONO)^+$  gives  $PdNO^+$  as the major product, reaction 30, while  $Pd(NO)(CH_2O)^+$  is also

$$Pd(NO)(CH_{3}ONO)^{+} \xrightarrow{Ar} PdNO^{+} + CH_{3}ONO$$
(30)

$$---- Pd^+ + CH_3ONO + NO$$
 (32)

a dominant product at lower energies and Pd<sup>+</sup> occurs at higher energies. Interestingly, the Pd<sup>+</sup> reactions terminate with the formation of Pd(NO)(CH<sub>3</sub>ONO)<sup>+</sup>, in exact analogy to the Ni<sup>+</sup> reactions discussed above.

The subsequent reactions of the  $CuL_n^+$  ions are similar to the primary reactions of  $Cu^+$  with methyl nitrite. As was observed with the  $Cu^+$  primary reactions, the only ligands retained by  $CuL_n^+$  are  $CH_2O$  and  $CH_3O$ , with  $CH_2O$  retention dominating. The secondary reactions of  $CuOCH_2^+$  with methyl nitrite, reactions 33 and 34, are exemplory. The primary product  $CuOCH_3^+$  reacts

$$C_{4}OCH_{2}^{+} + CH_{3}ONO - \frac{75\%}{25\%} C_{4}(OCH_{2})_{2}^{+} + HNO$$
 (33)

Table III. Product Distributions for the Primary Reactions of Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> with Nitromethane

pro	products				
ion	neutral(s)	Fe <sup>+</sup>	Co <sup>+ a</sup>	_	
MH+	CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	4	2		
MCH <sub>3</sub> +	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	0		
MO+	$HCN, H_2O$	7	17		
MOH+	CH <sub>2</sub> NO	23	21		
MOCH <sup>+</sup>	NO, H <sub>2</sub>	0	2		
MNCH <sub>3</sub> +	O <sub>2</sub>	2	0		
MOCH <sub>2</sub> +	HNO	2	2		
MNO+ -	OCH:	0	2		
MOCH <sub>3</sub> +	NO	57	40		
M(OH) <sub>2</sub> +	HCN	3	6		
MCHNO+	H <sub>2</sub> O	0	3		
MCH <sub>2</sub> NO <sup>+</sup>	он	0	3		
MHNO <sub>2</sub> +	CH <sub>2</sub>	0	2		

<sup>a</sup> From ref 16.

Scheme III



to formally add HCO and  $CH_2O$ , reactions 35 and 36, and thus forms the same products as  $CuOCH_2^+$ .  $Cu(OCH_2)_2^+$  and  $Cu-CH_2^+$ .

$$CuOCH_3^+ + CH_3ONO \xrightarrow{45\%} Cu(OCH_2)_2^+ + H_2 + NO$$
 (35)

(35%) Cu(OCH<sub>2</sub>)(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sup>+</sup> + HNO (36)

 $(OCH_2)(OCH_3)^+$  react with methyl nitrite to give  $Cu(OCH_2)_3^+$ and  $Cu(OCH_2)_2(OCH_3)^+$ . These tertiary products react to form only  $Cu(OCH_2)_4^+$ , an 18-electron species which does not react further with methyl nitrite. CID on the  $Cu(OCH_2)_n^+$  species yields consecutive loss of  $CH_2O$ .

In contrast to the  $CuL_n^+$  reactions, the Ag<sup>+</sup> primary product ion AgOCH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> undergoes only displacement reaction 37. CID

$$AgOCH_2^+ + CH_3ONO \rightarrow Ag(CH_3ONO)^+ + CH_2O \qquad (37)$$

on this product ion yields  $Ag^+$  in high efficiency as the only product suggesting that the complex consists of an intact CH<sub>3</sub>ONO bound to  $Ag^+$ .

Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> Reactions with Nitromethane. Of the first row transition metal ions studied, only Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> react with nitromethane and their reactivities are very similar (Table III). The major reaction product for both metals is  $MOCH_3^+$ , which is also the major product observed with methyl nitrite. In fact, for the nitromethane reactions,  $\sim 65\%$  of the Fe<sup>+</sup> products and  $\sim 48\%$ of the Co<sup>+</sup> products appear to result from nitrite intermediate 1 via the mechanism proposed in Scheme I. This suggests that a nitro-to-nitrite isomerization is occurring.<sup>16</sup> As shown in Scheme III, there are two general pathways by which this isomerization may occur. The first involves the coordination of the M<sup>+</sup> to an oxygen while the molecule isomerizes (reaction 1 in Scheme III), after which M<sup>+</sup> inserts into the O-N bond to form intermediate 1. The second pathway involves insertion of the metal into an N-O or C-N bond followed by a rearrangement to form the nitrite intermediate 1 (reactions 2 and 3 in Scheme III), with no formation of the actual nitrite isomer. Both the N-O bond, at 75 kcal/mol,<sup>40</sup> and the C-N bond, at 61 kcal/mol,<sup>41</sup> are weak relative to the typical C-C bond (ca. 88 kcal/mol<sup>42</sup>) or C-H bond (ca.





97 kcal/mol<sup>42</sup>). Thus, it may be energetically feasible for either bond to be the target of a metal ion insertion.

Two major products,  $MO^+$  and  $MOH^+$ , and several minor products are seen in the nitromethane reactions which are not present in the methyl nitrite reactions. The most probable mechanism for the formation of these products, Scheme IV, involves an intermediate with two oxygens bound to the metal, 5. Several factors point to this intermediate over a species with only one oxygen bound to the metal, structure 6. First, the formation

of the minor products  $MNCH_3^+$  (loss of  $O_2$ ) and  $M(OH)_2^+$  (loss of HCN) indicate that at some point two oxygens must be bound to the metal. Second, although intermediate 6 seems reasonable, MO<sup>+</sup> formation would presumably proceed by loss of CH<sub>3</sub>NO, which is estimated to be endothermic by 26 kcal/mol for Fe<sup>+</sup> and 30 kcal/mol for  $Co^{+36}$  and, therefore, cannot occur. With intermediate 5, however, loss of HCN and H<sub>2</sub>O by Scheme IV would be exothermic by 17 kcal/mol for Fe<sup>+</sup> and 13 kcal/mol for Co<sup>+.37</sup> The fact that the ratio of MO<sup>+</sup> to MOH<sup>+</sup> is greater for Co<sup>+</sup> than for Fe<sup>+</sup> lends further support to intermediate 5 since formation of MO<sup>+</sup> from 5 requires two  $\beta$ -hydride shifts, while MOH<sup>+</sup> requires one, and Co<sup>+</sup> appears to be a more facile  $\beta$ -hydrogen abstractor than Fe<sup>+ 12</sup> If the mechanism involves intermediate 6, no  $\beta$ -hydride shifts are required to form MO<sup>+</sup>, while MOH<sup>+</sup> requires one shift. By the same argument this predicts incorrectly that, compared to Co<sup>+</sup>, Fe<sup>+</sup> should form more MO<sup>+</sup> (relative to MOH<sup>+</sup>).

The major pathways for the reactions of Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> with nitromethane (Schemes III and IV) require formation of an M<sup>+</sup>–O bond. Ni<sup>+</sup> cannot react with nitromethane since the Ni<sup>+</sup>–O bond is ~20 kcal/mol weaker than the Fe<sup>+</sup>–O or Co<sup>+</sup>–O bonds,<sup>7</sup> making Ni<sup>+</sup>–O formation (loss of HCN and H<sub>2</sub>O) 8 kcal/mol endothermic.<sup>37</sup> Assuming that Cu<sup>+</sup>–O formation is also endothermic, this implies that  $D(Cu^+–O) < 53$  kcal/mol.

Insertion of  $M^+$  into the C-N bond, which is the weakest bond in nitromethane (61 kcal/mol<sup>41</sup>), results in only two very minor products (aside from a possible nitro-to-nitrite conversion route). For Fe<sup>+</sup>, reaction 38 appears to result from C-N insertion and

$$Fe^+ + CH_3NO_2 \xrightarrow{2\%} FeCH_3^+ + NO_2$$
 (38)

is ~9 kcal/mol exothermic for Fe<sup>+</sup>, but it is only ~1 kcal/mol exothermic for Co<sup>+</sup> <sup>37</sup> where it is not observed. For Co<sup>+</sup>, reaction 39, which results from a C-N insertion, is unusual since CH<sub>2</sub> is

$$Co^{+} + CH_{3}NO_{2} \xrightarrow{2\%} CoHNO_{2}^{+} + CH_{2}$$
(39)

a high-energy radical and its formation would require either an

<sup>(40)</sup> Pepekin, V. I.; Matyushin, Y. N.; Lebedev, Y. A. Izu. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Khim. 1974, 8, 1707.

<sup>(41)</sup> Reference 17, p 1043.

<sup>(42)</sup> Weast, R. C., Ed. "Handbook of Chemistry and Physics", 55th ed.; CRC Press: Cleveland, 1974.

<sup>(43)</sup> The group notation is being changed in accord with recent actions by IUPAC and ACS nomenclature committees. A and B notation is being eliminated because of wide confusion. Group I becomes groups 1 and 11, group II becomes groups 2 and 12, group III becomes groups 3 and 13, etc.

Table IV. Product Distributions in Subsequent Reactions of the Primary Products of the  $[Fe^+ + CH_3NO_2]$  Reaction  $(P = CH_3NO_2)$ 

	products	rel abun-				
reactant ion	ion	neutral(s)	dance			
FeH <sup>+</sup>	a					
FeCH <sub>3</sub> +	а					
FeO <sup>+</sup>	$Fe(OH)_2^+$	CHNO	80			
	FeOP <sup>+</sup>		20			
FeOH+	Fe(OH) <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> NO	10			
	$Fe(OH)(H_2O)^+$	CHNO	10			
	FeCH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O	20			
	Fe(OH)P <sup>+</sup>	-	60			
FeNCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	a					
FeOCH <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	а					
FeOCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	FeHP <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> O	100			
$Fe(OH)_2^+$	FeOP <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> Ō	60			
· · · •	Fe(OH)P <sup>+</sup>	он	40			
3rd Generation Reactions of Products Listed Above						
$Fe(OH)(H_2O)^+$	Fe(OH)P <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O	100			
FeCH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	$Fe(O)(CH_2NO_2)^+$	CH <sub>3</sub> NO	80			
	Fe(NO)P <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> O	20			
FeHP+	Fe(OH)P <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub> NO	100			
FeOP <sup>+</sup>	Fe(OH)P <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	100			
Fe(OH)P <sup>+ b</sup>	Fe(OCH <sub>3</sub> )P <sup>+</sup>	HNO <sub>2</sub>	25			
	$Fe(CH_2NO_2)(NO_2)^+$	$CH_3$ , $H_2O$	25			
	Fe(CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> )P <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O	25			
	$Fe(OH)P_2^+$	-	25			

<sup>a</sup> Due to low ion intensity, the further reactions of this ion could not be determined. <sup>b</sup> The  $Fe(OH)P^+$  reactions are much slower than the other subsequent reactions.

 $\alpha$ -hydride shift onto Co<sup>+</sup> or a hydride shift onto an oxygen via a cyclic intermediate.<sup>16</sup>

Collision-induced dissociation experiments were performed on the three major products of the nitromethane reactions. As expected, for MO<sup>+</sup> and MOH<sup>+</sup> the only CID product was M<sup>+</sup>. MOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> from nitromethane behaves in a manner similar to MOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> formed from methyl nitrite, with a high efficiency formation of MH<sup>+</sup> at all energies and a small amount of M<sup>+</sup> at high energies. This ion also undergoes no H/D exchange with D<sub>2</sub> but undergoes three exchanges with ethene-d<sub>4</sub>, again behaving identically with the ion formed from methyl nitrite. In addition, MOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> reacts with nitromethane to displace CH<sub>2</sub>O, reaction 40. These data again support an equilibration of methoxy and hydrido-formaldehyde structures, **2**, for MOCH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>.

$$MOCH_3^+ + CH_3NO_2 \rightarrow MCH_4NO_2^+ + CH_2O \quad (40)$$

The primary products are very reactive with nitromethane. The subsequent reactions of the ions formed form Fe<sup>+</sup> are given in Table IV, while the reactions of the  $CoL_n^+$  ions with nitromethane have been reported previously.<sup>16</sup> The major difference in the subsequent reactions of the Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> species is that the  $FeL_n^+$ ions are less reactive than the CoL<sup>+</sup> ions. This is in contrast to the methyl nitrite reactions where just the opposite is true. For FeL<sup>+</sup>, the subsequent reactions essentially stop with the formation of  $Fe(OH)(CH_3NO_2)^+$ , which then reacts away very slowly.  $Co(OH)(CH_3NO_2)^+$ , however, reacts readily with nitromethane until the mass spectrum is eventually dominated by 5th generation reaction products such as  $Co(CH_3NO_2)_3^+$ .  $CoL_n^+$  ions also have a greater tendency to lose OH than do  $FeL_n^+$  ions. For example, in the reactions of CoOH<sup>+</sup> there is a 70% loss of OH, while only 15% of the FeOH<sup>+</sup> reactic is involve loss of OH. This is surprising since the Co<sup>+</sup>–OH bond is only  $\sim$ 2 kcal/mol weaker than the Fe<sup>+</sup>-OH bond<sup>39</sup> and is apparently due to the ability of Co<sup>+</sup> to readily abstract  $\beta$ -hydrogens,<sup>12</sup> resulting in H<sub>2</sub>O loss.

This tendency of  $Fe(OH)L_n^+$  to retain the hydroxy group is also seen in the CID spectra. For example, only one CID product, FeOH<sup>+</sup>, is seen for  $Fe(OH)(CH_3NO_2)^+$ . For Co(OH)-(CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>+</sup> several products are observed, reactions 41 through 43, although CoOH<sup>+</sup> is the dominant product.<sup>16</sup> FeCH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> also gives FeOH<sup>+</sup> as the major CID product, reaction 46, with Fe<sup>+</sup> and FeH<sup>+</sup> as minor products. For CoCH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, CoOH<sup>+</sup>



$$FeCH_4NO_2^+ \xrightarrow{Ar} Fe^+ + (CH_4NO_2)$$
(44)

 $= \text{FeH}^+ + \text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2 \qquad (45)$ 

is the major product, reaction 49, while several minor products are also present.<sup>16</sup> Although CID does not confirm a structure

$$C_{0}CH_{4}NO_{2}^{+} \xrightarrow{Ar} C_{0}^{+} + (CH_{4}NO_{2})$$
(47)  

$$C_{0}H^{+} + CH_{3}NO_{2}$$
(48)  

$$C_{0}OH^{+} + CH_{3}NO$$
(49)  

$$C_{0}OH^{+} + CH_{4}O$$
(50)  

$$C_{0}CH_{4}O^{+} + NO$$
(51)  

$$C_{0}HNO_{2}^{+} + CH_{3}$$
(52)

for  $MCH_4NO_2^+$ , the results suggest that the structure is 7. The reaction of  $MCH_4NO_2^+$  with nitromethane, which involves displacement of  $CH_3NO$  by  $CH_3NO_2$ , also supports this structure.

 $\mathbf{Rh^+}$  and  $\mathbf{Pd^+}$  Reactions with Nitromethane. The second-row groups 9 and 10 metal ions  $\mathbf{Rh^+}$  and  $\mathbf{Pd^+}$  react with nitromethane to form MNO<sup>+</sup>, reaction 53. This reaction is slow relative to

$$M^{+} + CH_{3}NO_{2} \rightarrow MNO^{+} + CH_{3}O$$
 (53)

the first-row group 8–10 metal ion reactions and has a rate constant on the order of  $10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; however, it does not appear to be caused by excited state metal ions since increasing the nitromethane pressure increases the extent of reaction and the addition of argon at pressures of  $10^{-5}$  torr does not quench the reaction.<sup>21</sup> This reaction yields a lower limit of  $D(M^+-NO)^{37}$  > 39 kcal/mol for M = Rh, Pd. The mechanism of MNO<sup>+</sup> formation may be a nitro-to-nitrite rearrangement, similar to that proposed for Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup>, where NO is retained preferentially to OCH<sub>3</sub>.

#### Conclusion

The seven metal ions studied all react with methyl nitrite by oxidative addition of the metal ion into the weak CH<sub>3</sub>O-NO bond. The products resulting from this common intermediate, however, are dependent on the metal ion. The first row groups 8-10 metal ions Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup> give greater than 90% loss of the NO ligand, preferring to retain CH<sub>3</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>O, or CHO instead. The second-row ions Rh<sup>+</sup> and Pd<sup>+</sup> show a slight preference for the NO over the carbon-containing bases in the primary reactions, while their subsequent reactions are dominated by NO retention. The large loss of NO in these reactions is surprising since ligand displacement reactions give a relative binding order for Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, Ni<sup>+</sup>, and Rh<sup>+</sup> of CO < CH<sub>2</sub>O < C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> < NO. In addition, NO does not displace H from FeH<sup>+</sup> or CoH<sup>+</sup>, bracketing  $D(Fe^+-C_2H_4)$ =  $34 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol}^{35} < D(\text{Fe}^+-\text{NO}) < D(\text{Fe}^+-\text{H}) = 58 \pm 2$  $kcal/mol^7$  and  $D(Co^+-C_2H_4) = 37 \pm 2 kcal/mol^{34} < D(Co^+-NO)$  $< D(Co^+-H) = 52 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol.}^7$  Also, slow displacements of H from NiH<sup>+</sup> and RhH<sup>+</sup> by NO suggest that  $D(Ni^+-NO) = 43$  $\pm$  5 kcal/mol and  $D(Rh^+-NO) = 40 \pm 5$  kcal/mol.

The carbon-containing primary products of the methyl nitrite reactions have either  $CH_2O$  or CO bound to the metal. The relative amounts of  $CH_2O$  and CO retained show an excellent correlation with the ability of the metal ion to dehydrogenate  $CH_2O$ .

The primary reactions also give some indication that 12 electron species may be more stable than species with 11 or 13 electrons around the metal. For example,  $M(H)(NO)^+$  is the major Rh<sup>+</sup> product while MNO<sup>+</sup> is the most abundant Pd<sup>+</sup> product. Further evidence for the added stability of 12 electron configurations is given by the reactions of the group 11 ions Cu<sup>+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> with methyl nitrite. These ions form primarily MCH<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, with no retention of NO. Ligand displacement reactions with Cu<sup>+</sup> give a relative binding order of NO < CO  $\leq$  CH<sub>2</sub>O < C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, indicating that NO, which is the most strongly bound of the four ligands to groups 8–10 metals, is the least strongly bound ligand to Cu<sup>+</sup>. This may imply that NO cannot donate three electrons to the 4s<sup>0</sup>3d<sup>10</sup> system of Cu<sup>+</sup> and must behave instead as a 1-electron

Of the first-row transition-metal ions studied, only Fe<sup>+</sup> and Co<sup>+</sup> react with nitromethane. The majority of the products from these reactions appear to result from an intermediate similar to that invoked in the methyl nitrite reactions, implying that a metal-induced nitro-to-nitrite isomerization is occurring. The other major

donor.

product ions,  $MO^+$  and  $MOH^+$ , may result from a reaction pathway which involves the splitting of the nitro group resulting in an intermediate with two oxygens and a nitrogen bound to the metal.

The second row groups 9 and 10 transition-metal ions  $Rh^+$  and  $Pd^+$  react slowly with nitromethane to form MNO<sup>+</sup>. The production of this ion may also be the result of a nitro-to-nitrite isomerization with NO retention dominating over OCH<sub>3</sub> retention.

Acknowledgment is made to the Division of Chemical Sciences in the Office of Basic Energy Sciences in the United States Department of Energy (DE-AC02-80ER10689) for supporting this research and the National Science Foundation (CHE-8310039) for continuing support of the FTMS. In addition, one of us (C.J.C.) thanks Phillips Petroleum for providing Fellowship support.

**Registry No.** Fe<sup>+</sup>, 14067-02-8; Co<sup>+</sup>, 16610-75-6; Ni<sup>+</sup>, 14903-34-5; Cu<sup>+</sup>, 17493-86-6; Rh<sup>+</sup>, 20561-59-5; Pd<sup>+</sup>, 20561-55-1; Ag<sup>+</sup>, 14701-21-4; methyl nitrite, 624-91-9; nitromethane, 75-52-5.

# Gas-Phase Reactions of Groups 8-10 Transition-Metal Ions with Nitroalkanes<sup>†</sup>

### C. J. Cassady and B. S. Freiser\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907. Received July 9, 1984

Abstract: Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup> exhibit a rich chemistry in their reactions with nitroalkanes. Oxidative addition of the metal ion into the C–N bond dominates the chemistry of Ni<sup>+</sup>. Fe<sup>+</sup>, however, preferentially attacks the stronger N–O and C–C bonds, while Co<sup>+</sup> reacts in a manner intermediate to Fe<sup>+</sup> and Ni<sup>+</sup>. An unusual reaction pathway for all three metals is an apparent nitro-to-nitrite isomerization. In addition, following C–C insertion, radical loss processes dominate over processes involving  $\beta$ -hydrogen abstraction. The structures of the reaction products were probed by collision-induced dissociation and secondary ion-molecule reactions with the nitroalkane. The effect of total pressure on these reactions was also studied. Evidence is presented for a long-lived (>1 ms) reaction intermediate of C–N insertion which undergoes stabilizing collisions in the presence of a relatively high pressure (~10<sup>-5</sup> torr) of an inert gas.

Several recent studies<sup>1</sup> have shown that gas-phase transitionmetal ions react with functionalized organic molecules predominantly by oxidative addition of the metal into the carbon-heteroatom bond. This is not surprising since this bond is typically weaker than either the C-C or the C-H bonds of the molecule and the resulting  $M^+-X$  bond is comparable to the  $M^+-R$  and M<sup>+</sup>-H bonds that would result from C-C and C-H insertion.<sup>2</sup> Nitroalkanes, however, represent an interesting class of organic compounds because in addition to having a relatively weak C-NO<sub>2</sub> bond ( $\sim 60 \text{ kcal/mol}^{3a}$ ), the N–O bond ( $\sim 75 \text{ kcal/mol}^{3b}$ ) is also weak relative to the C-C ( $\sim$ 88 kcal/mol<sup>4</sup>) and C-H ( $\sim$ 97 kcal/mol<sup>4</sup>) bonds. In fact, in solution transition metals interact with nitroalkanes in the presence of a reducing agent not by cleavage of the C-N bond but instead by N-O cleavage which leads to amine formation.<sup>5</sup> As discussed in the preceding paper in this journal, gas-phase groups 8-10 transition-metal ions react with nitromethane predominantly by cleavage of the N-O bond. In this study we expand upon the nitromethane results and upon our previous study of the reactions of Co<sup>+</sup> with nitroalkanes<sup>6</sup> by presenting the results of a study of the reactions of the groups 8-10 transition-metal ions Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup> with nitroalkanes.

#### **Experimental Section**

All studies were performed on a Nicolet prototype FTMS-1000 Fourier transform mass spectrometer<sup>7</sup> equipped with a laser ionization source to generate gas-phase atomic-metal ions.<sup>8</sup> A brief description of

Table I. Product Distributions for the Primary Reactions of Fe<sup>+</sup>, Co<sup>+</sup>, and Ni<sup>+</sup> with Nitroethane

products		a	rel bundanc	inter- mediate	
ion	neutral(s)	Fe <sup>+</sup>	Co+b	Ni <sup>+</sup>	structure
MCH <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	9	5	1	II
MO <sup>+</sup>	$CH_3CN, H_2O$	5	2	0	V
MOH+	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NO	29	20	11	V
MC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> +	$H_2$ , $NO_2$	2	14	4	I, III
$MC_2H_4^+$	HNO <sub>2</sub>	0	3	4	I
MNO <sup>+</sup>	C₂H₅Ō	2	3	6	VI
$M(OH)_2^+$	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	13	4	0	V
MCH <sub>3</sub> CN <sup>+</sup>	20Ĥ	0	0	4	v
MC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> , NO	4	5	7	VI
$MC_2H_4O^+$	HNO	6	10	4	VI
MC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	NO	4	4	3	VI
MHNO <sub>2</sub> +	$C_2H_4$	3	12	44	I
MC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> NO <sup>+</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O	2	4	0	v
$MC_2H_4NO^+$	OH	4	5	3	V
MCHNO <sub>2</sub> +	CH₄	2	0	0	II
MCH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> +	CH <sub>3</sub>	10	7	9	II
MCH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> +	CH <sub>2</sub>	5	2	0	II

<sup>a</sup>Nitroethane pressure was  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  torr. <sup>b</sup>From ref 6.

the experiment has been given in the preceding paper in this journal which discusses our related study of the reactions of transition-metal ions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The group notation is being changed in accord with recent actions by IUPAC and ACS nomenclature committees. A and B notation is being eliminated because of wide confusion. Group I becomes groups 1 and 11, group II becomes groups 2 and 12, group III becomes groups 3 and 13, etc.

 <sup>(1) (</sup>a) Burnier, R. C.; Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4360.
 (b) Allison, J.; Ridge, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4998.
 (c) Byrd, G. D. Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1982.